

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Pegasystems Inc.,
Plaintiff

v.

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 1:19CV11461-PBS

Appian Corporation et al.,
Defendants

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE PATTI B. SARIS

☐ The parties have informed the court that they wish to withdraw their request for ADR at this time because they have settled the case on their own.

☒ On August 17, 2022, I held the following ADR proceedings:

<input type="checkbox"/> SCREENING CONFERENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
<input type="checkbox"/> FOLLOWUP CONFERENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL
<input type="checkbox"/> MINI-TRIAL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIATION

☐ All parties were represented by counsel.

☐ The parties were either present in person or represented by counsel.

The case was:

☐ Settled.

☐ There was significant progress.

☒ Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.

☐ Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement: await further word from the parties.

☐ Other: _____

August 17, 2022
DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman
ADR Provider
KENNETH P. NEIMAN, U.S. Magistrate Judge